

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill #	SB0321		Title: Crea	ate penalty for voter suppres	ssion
Primary Sponsor: Williams, Carol			Status: As I	ntroduced	
			-		
☐ Sign	nificant Local Gov Impact	☐ Needs to be included.	ded in HB 2	☐ Technical Concerns	
☐ Incl	uded in the Executive Budget	☐ Significant Long-T	Ferm Impacts	☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
		FISCAL S			
		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
		Difference	Difference	Difference	Difference
Expenditu	res:				<u> </u>
General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:		Ψ.	40	40	40
General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
_		\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact-General Fund Balance		ΦU	ΦU	ΦU	ΦU

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> This bill has no fiscal impact to the state.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Judical Branch

- 1. This bill establishes penalties for violations of laws protecting the rights of voters and allows the penalties assessed by an election administrator to be appealed in district court.
- 2. It is assumed that appeals to penalties assessed by election administrators will be infrequent. There may be a minimal increase to district court workload as a result of this legislation; however, the Judicial Branch is unable to estimate the impact on judicial workload or the fiscal impact.

Office of Public Instruction

3. The change would allow school trustees or the county election administrator, depending on who is conducting the election, to assess the costs of determining the sufficiency of the challenge against the person who filed the challenge if the trustees or election administrator determines that the challenge was not made in good faith. A person assessed costs under the new subsection 20-20-303 (3), MCA, may appeal the assessment in district court. There are potential legal costs for school districts that choose to fight an appeal made in district court.

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date